

**United States District Court
Middle District of Pennsylvania
Harrisburg Division**

**The PUBLIC INTEREST LEGAL
FOUNDATION,**

Plaintiff,

v.

**KATHY BOOCKVAR, in her official capacity
as Acting Secretary of the Commonwealth of
Pennsylvania, JONATHAN M. MARKS, in his
official capacity as Deputy Secretary for
Elections and Commissions, and the BUREAU
OF COMMISSIONS, ELECTIONS AND
LEGISLATION,**

Defendants.

No. _____

COMPLAINT

Complaint

Plaintiff, by its attorneys, brings this action for violations of Section 8 of the National Voter Registration Act of 1993 (“NVRA”), 52 U.S.C. § 20507.

1. According to statements and records of Commonwealth election officials, non-U.S. citizens have been registering and voting in Pennsylvania for decades. Defendants possess records and data showing the extent to which noncitizens are participating in the Commonwealth’s elections. Federal law grants the public the right to inspect and duplicate that information. Defendants are shielding that information from the public, in violation of federal law.

2. Plaintiff seeks injunctive relief to compel Defendants to comply with Section 8 of the NVRA. Specifically, Plaintiff seeks an order commanding Defendants to permit inspection and duplication of records concerning the maintenance of voter registration lists pursuant to 52 U.S.C. § 20507(i).

JURISDICTION AND VENUE

3. This Court has jurisdiction over this matter pursuant to 28 U.S.C. § 1331, because the action arises under the laws of the United States. This Court also has jurisdiction under 52 U.S.C. § 20510(b), as the action seeks injunctive relief under the NVRA.

4. Venue in this Court is proper under 28 U.S.C. § 1391(b)(1), because Defendants reside in this district, and 28 U.S.C. § 1391(b)(2), because a substantial part of the events or omissions giving rise to the claim occurred in this district.

PARTIES

5. The Public Interest Legal Foundation, Inc. (the “Foundation”) is a non-partisan, public interest organization headquartered in Indianapolis, Indiana. The Foundation seeks to promote the integrity of elections nationwide. The Foundation has dedicated significant time and resources to ensure that voter rolls in the Commonwealth of Pennsylvania, and other jurisdictions throughout the United States, are free from ineligible registrants, noncitizens, individuals who are no longer residents and individuals who are registered in more than one location.

6. Defendant Kathy Boockvar is the Acting Secretary of the Commonwealth of Pennsylvania. Defendant Boockvar is the Commonwealth's Chief Election Officer and administers the Pennsylvania Department of State, which is charged with the supervision and administration of federal and state election laws, including the National Voter Registration Act.

7. Defendant Jonathan M. Marks is the Deputy Secretary for Elections and Commissions, an executive office in the Department of State. Defendant Marks oversees the Bureau of Commissions, Elections and Legislation. Defendant Marks and the offices he oversees are responsible for planning, developing and coordinating statewide implementation of voter registration and list maintenance activities.

8. Defendant Bureau of Commissions, Elections and Legislation is a bureau of the Pennsylvania Department of State and is responsible for planning, developing and coordinating statewide implementation of voter registration and list maintenance activities.¹

9. Defendants are custodians of the requested records.

¹ Defendant Marks is formerly the Commissioner of the Bureau of Commissions, Elections and Legislation. According to the Pennsylvania Department of State's staff directory, the Commissioner's office in the Bureau is currently vacant.

BACKGROUND

The National Voter Registration Act

10. The NVRA provides that “[e]ach State shall maintain for at least 2 years and shall make available for public inspection . . . **all records** concerning the implementation of programs and activities conducted for the purpose of ensuring the accuracy and currency of official lists of eligible voters. . . .” 52 U.S.C. § 20507(i)(1) (emphasis added).

11. The only records exempted from the NVRA’s public disclosure requirement are “records relate[d] to a declination to register to vote or to the identity of a voter registration agency through which any particular voter is registered.” 52 U.S.C. § 20507(i)(1).

Registration Lists and Registration List Maintenance in Pennsylvania

12. In Pennsylvania, voter registration records are maintained by state and county officials using the Statewide Uniform Registry of Electors (SURE), which facilitates the registration and cancellation of registrants. 25 Pa.C.S. § 1222.

13. Using the SURE system, “Commissions shall institute a program to protect the integrity of the electoral process and to ensure the maintenance of accurate and current registration records.” 25 Pa.C.S. § 1901(a).

14. Like the NVRA, Pennsylvania has a state public disclosure law pertaining to election list maintenance records. State law requires that all

registration list maintenance records maintained by the Defendants be made available for public inspection and duplication:

The department and each commission shall preserve for two years and shall make available for public inspection and, where available, photocopying at a reasonable cost **all records** concerning the implementation of programs and activities conducted for the purposes of ensuring the accuracy and currency of official lists of registered electors except to the extent that the records relate to a declination to register to vote or to the identity of a voter registration agency through which any particular qualified elector is registered.

25 Pa.C.S. § 1405(b)(1) (emphasis added).

15. When the registration of a registered elector is cancelled, election officials must “mark on all registration records of the elector the word ‘canceled’ and the date and cause of cancellation.” 25 Pa.C.S. § 1904(a). These records are subject to public inspection under NVRA.

16. Election officials must then “remove any registration records pertaining to the elector” and retain the cancellation records “separate from registered electors for five years.” *Id.* Upon cancellation, election officials must “promptly update information contained in its registration records” using the SURE system. *Id.* Records of removal and cancellations are subject to public inspection under the NVRA.

17. The names of prospective jurors for the federal and state courts of Pennsylvania are drawn from a pool of names created using the lists of registered voters. 42 Pa.C.S. § 4521(a)(2); *United States v. Green*, No. 10-186, 2011 U.S.

Dist. LEXIS 115542, at *4 (W.D. Pa. Oct. 6, 2011) (the “names of grand and petit jurors selected to serve . . . shall be selected at random from the voter registration lists of all the counties within the relevant divisions”) (citations and quotations omitted).

18. Only citizens are qualified to serve on juries in Pennsylvania. 42 Pa.C.S. § 4502(a).

19. The inclusion of noncitizens on voter registration lists risks the inclusion of non-qualified noncitizens on federal and state juries.

20. Furthermore, the inclusion of non-qualified noncitizens in the prospective jury pools imposes on clerks of court who use defective lists various costs associated with the identification and removal of unqualified jurors during the jury selection process.

Noncitizen Registration and Voting is a Crime

21. United States citizenship is a requirement to register and to vote in Pennsylvania. 25 Pa.C.S. § 1301(a)-(b).

22. In Pennsylvania, it is a misdemeanor, punishable by up to five years in prison, for a noncitizen (or other ineligible individual) to apply for voter registration. 25 Pa.C.S. § 1703(a)(1); 25 Pa.C.S. § 1703(b).

23. Registration and voting by a noncitizen is criminalized under multiple federal felony statutes:

- a. 18 U.S.C. § 611 (criminalizes voting by aliens in federal elections);
- b. 18 U.S.C. § 911 (criminalizes representing oneself to be a United States citizen);
- c. 18 U.S.C. § 1015(f) (criminalizes false statements in order to register to vote in any federal, state, or local election);
- d. 52 U.S.C. § 20511(2) (criminalizes the fraudulent submission of voter registration applications and the fraudulent casting of ballots).

24. Election officials in Pennsylvania commit one or more state offenses when they knowingly allow the registration of a noncitizen or accept votes from a noncitizen.

25. It is a misdemeanor, punishable by up to five years in prison, for an election official to “knowingly register[] or permit[] the registration of an applicant not lawfully entitled to be registered.” 25 Pa.C.S. § 1702(a).

26. It is a misdemeanor, punishable by up to five years in prison, for an election official to “[k]nowingly accept the vote of an individual not registered” to vote or “[k]nowingly receive a vote from a person falsely claiming to be a registered elector.” 25 Pa.C.S. § 1705(a)(2)-(3).

The Discovery of Noncitizen Registration and Voting in the Commonwealth

27. Investigations by the Foundation and others have revealed that noncitizens are registering and voting in the Commonwealth.

28. In 2015, the Foundation submitted a request pursuant to the NVRA for list maintenance records maintained by the Philadelphia City Commission.

29. Records obtained by the Foundation showed that between 2013 and 2015, at least 86 registrations in the City of Philadelphia were cancelled because election officials determined that the registrant was not a U.S. citizen. Public Interest Legal Foundation, *Aliens and Felons: Thousands on the Voter Rolls in Philadelphia* at 2, October 4, 2016, available at <https://publicinterestlegal.org/files/Philadelphia-Litigation-Report.pdf>.

30. In large measure, the voter registrations detailed in these records were self-reported aliens. Upon information and belief, no active process exists to detect and remove them. Only self-reporting by aliens, who were concerned with jeopardizing their immigration status, led to their removal from the rolls in Philadelphia.

31. Of the 86 noncitizens whose registrations were cancelled, 40 cast a ballot in at least one election prior to cancellation. *Id.*

32. The Foundation presented its findings in testimony to the State Government Committee of the Pennsylvania House of Representatives on October

4, 2016. Preparations for the November election and efforts to protect and improve the integrity of elections: Hearing before the State Government Committee of the House of Representatives, Oct. 4, 2016, transcript available at http://www.legis.state.pa.us/WU01/LI/TR/Transcripts/2016_0147T.pdf.

Systematic Registration of Identified Aliens Through PennDOT

33. In September 2017, Al Schmidt, a Philadelphia city commissioner, revealed that a so-called “glitch” at Pennsylvania Department of Motor Vehicle branch offices was enabling noncitizens to register to vote when they applied for or renewed their driver’s licenses. Brennan, *Glitch let ineligible immigrants vote in Philly elections, officials say*, Philadelphia Inquirer, Sept. 20, 2017, <http://www.philly.com/philly/news/politics/city/philly-voter-fraud-trump-immigrants-registration-commissioners-penn-dot-20170920.html>.

34. List maintenance records obtained by the Foundation from a number of county election officials in the Commonwealth—including those in Allegheny, Washington, Westmoreland, and York—plainly demonstrate that noncitizens have been registering to vote in the Commonwealth through a PennDOT administered process. The Defendants would possess the same or counterpart and associated list maintenance documents as well as additional documents related to this defective list maintenance process.

35. A small sample of list maintenance records received from Allegheny County election officials pursuant to an NVRA request are illustrative of both the existence of responsive records in the possession of the Department of State and/or the Bureau and also of the defects in list maintenance process, including the registration process administered at PennDOT offices. These records are attached to this Complaint as Exhibits A through G.²

36. In 2005, Othman Alamoudi registered to vote in Allegheny County through the PennDOT application process. Exhibit A at 1. In 2012, Mr. Alamoudi's voter registration was cancelled because election officials determined he was not a U.S. citizen. Exhibit A at 1, 8. In 2014, Mr. Alamoudi *re-registered to vote*, this time using the mail application process. Exhibit A at 1. Mr. Alamoudi then voted in the 2014 General Election. Exhibit A at 2. In 2015, Allegheny County elections officials cancelled Mr. Alamoudi's voter registration a second time when he moved out of the county. Exhibit A at 1. After relocating to Mercer County, Pennsylvania, Mr. Alamoudi *again re-registered* using the PennDOT application process and is currently an active registrant. Exhibit A at 1, 7. Records indicate that Mr. Alamoudi voted in the 2016 General Election. Exhibit A at 7.

² Pursuant to Federal Rule of Civil Procedure 5.2 and Local Rule 5.2, certain personal information has been redacted in these Exhibits. Additional information, such as driver's license numbers, alien registration numbers, and street addresses has also been redacted.

37. In 2002, Susan Hermanoche registered to vote in Allegheny County. Exhibit B at 1. In 2006, Ms. Hermanoche's voter registration was cancelled because election officials determined she was not a U.S. citizen. Exhibit B at 1. Since then, Ms. Hermanoche *has re-registered to vote twice*. Exhibit B at 1. Records show Ms. Hermanoche has voted in several elections since she was flagged as a noncitizen, including the 2008 Primary Election and the General Elections in 2010, 2012 and 2016. Exhibit B at 1, 6, 12. Prior to voting in the 2016 General Election, Ms. Hermanoche registered to vote through the PennDOT application process. Upon information and belief, she is currently an active registrant. Exhibit B at 1, 9.

38. In 2007, Mildred Nyama registered to vote in Allegheny County through the PennDOT application process. Exhibit C at 1. Ms. Nyama then voted in a total of four elections, including the General Elections in 2008, 2012, and 2016. Exhibit C at 1-2. In 2014, Ms. Nyama wrote to elections officials to request cancellation of her registration due to the fact that she is not a U.S. citizen. Exhibit C at 3-5.

39. In 2012, Devanathan Mudaliar registered to vote in Allegheny County through the PennDOT application process. Exhibit D at 1. In 2014, Mr. Mudaliar wrote to elections officials to request cancellation of his registration due to the fact that he is not a U.S. citizen. Exhibit D at 2-4. Mr. Mudaliar's letter

explains that he registered to vote due to a “system error” “while going through the driving license pendot [sic] process.” Exhibit D at 4.

40. In 2009, Felipe Rojas-Orta registered to vote in Allegheny County through the PennDOT application process. Exhibit E at 2. Mr. Rojas-Orta then voted in three separate elections, including the 2009 Municipal Primary and the General Elections in 2010 and 2016. Exhibit E at 5. In 2017, Mr. Rojas-Orta wrote to election officials to request cancellation of his registration, explaining, “I am not a citizen.” Exhibit E at 3.

1-17-17

I wish to cancel my voting registration,
because I am not a citizen

[Signature]

Felipe Rojas Orta

ALLEGHENY COUNTY
DEPT. OF ELECTIONS
2017 JAN 17 PM 3:36

Figure 1 (Exhibit E at 3).

41. In 2006, Parshant Malhotra registered to vote in Allegheny County through the PennDOT application process. Exhibit F at 1. Mr. Malholtra then voted in the 2007 Municipal Primary and the 2008 General Election. Exhibit F at 2. In 2013, Mr. Malholtra's registration was cancelled because election officials determined he is not a U.S. citizen. Exhibit F at 1.

42. In 2012, Alfredo Lopez Figallo registered to vote in Allegheny County through the PennDOT application process. Exhibit G at 3. In 2018, Mr. Lopez Figallo wrote to election officials to request cancellation of his registration due to the fact that he is not a U.S. citizen. Exhibit G at 2. Mr. Lopez Figallo explained,

On the day I was getting my drivers [sic] license, I registered to vote. My understanding was that as a permanent residende [sic] of the USA I could vote locally. I did not realize that I was no [sic] allowed to register in the first place.

Exhibit G at 2.

43. On or around October 11, 2017, then-Pennsylvania Secretary of the State Pedro Cortes resigned from office.

44. On October 25, 2017, the House State Government Committee held a hearing to examine the issue of noncitizen registration and voting, including the DMV "glitch" revealed by Commissioner Schmidt. Noncitizens Registered to Vote in Pennsylvania: Hearing before the State Government Committee of the House of

Representatives, Oct. 25, 2017, transcript available at http://www.legis.state.pa.us/WU01/LI/TR/Transcripts/2017_0109T.pdf.

45. A representative of the Foundation appeared at that hearing and provided testimony concerning noncitizens registering and voting in the Commonwealth and elsewhere in the United States. Testimony of Noel H. Johnson, available at http://www.legis.state.pa.us/WU01/LI/TR/Transcripts/2017_0109_0004_TSTMNY.pdf.

46. At the October 25 hearing, Commissioner Schmidt provided written and oral testimony to the committee. Testimony of Philadelphia City Commissioner Al Schmidt, available at http://www.legis.state.pa.us/WU01/LI/TR/Transcripts/2017_0109_0001_TSTMNY.pdf.

47. In his written testimony, Commissioner Schmidt revealed that an investigation by his office found “220 non-citizens who were registered to vote in Philadelphia at some point between 2006 and 2017.” *Id.* at 1. Of those 220 noncitizens discovered, 90 had cast a total of 227 ballots, “with the largest number of votes (47) cast in the 2008 General Election.” *Id.* Of those 220 noncitizens discovered, 168 had initially registered through PennDOT (DMV) or modified their voter registration record through PennDOT (DMV). *Id.* at 2.

48. Upon information and belief, that information was shared with the Department of State prior to Commissioner Schmidt’s testimony on October 25,

2017. *See* Transcript, Hearing before the State Government Committee of the House of Representatives at 5:15-19, Oct. 25, 2017, available at http://www.legis.state.pa.us/WU01/LI/TR/Transcripts/2017_0109T.pdf (“We’ve received additional information this year, and Mr. Al Schmidt, the Vice Chair from the Philadelphia City Commissioners, who is one of the Commissioners over the elections in Philadelphia, he is going to be testifying here today also related to this issue.”).

49. At the October 25 hearing, Defendant Jonathan M. Marks, then-Commissioner of the Bureau of Commissions, Elections and Legislation, substantiated the revelation of Commissioner Schmidt.

50. According to Defendant Marks, the Department of State “undertook an analysis of the statewide voter registration database to determine whether ineligible residents were registering and voting.” Testimony of Jonathan Marks at 1, available at http://www.legis.state.pa.us/WU01/LI/TR/Transcripts/2017_0109_0002_TSTMNY.pdf.

51. That analysis “found 1,160 records that indicate a registrant apparently self-reported and cancelled their registration because they were not citizens.” *Id.* Again, like in Philadelphia, these were only cases where a registered noncitizen self-reported his or her invalid registration. It does not capture a wider

search of how many total aliens were registered to vote under the so-called PennDOT “glitch” and have not self-reported.

52. Defendant Marks submitted the following data to the State Government Committee regarding the Department of State’s analysis of self-reported aliens on Pennsylvania voter rolls:

- Approximately 79% (912) never voted.
- Approximately 21% (248) individuals had voted at least 1 time. Of those 248:
 - 134 voted 1 time
 - 51 voted 2 times
 - 63 voted 3 or more times
 - Total ballots cast by the 248 individuals: 642
- The 1,160 records identified were from 46 counties.
- The 248 registrants with records showing they voted at least one time before cancelling were from 30 counties.
- Of the 1,160 who self-reported statewide, approximately 66% (769) had initially applied through the Motor Voter system.

Id. at 1-2. Records concerning this data analysis are subject to the public inspection provision of the NVRA.

53. According to Defendant Marks, “In a deeper analysis of the years 2000 through 2017, [the Department of State] found 544 ballots were cast by the identified registrants.” *Id.* at 2.

54. As indicated by Defendant Marks, the noncitizens analyzed by the Department of State were only those noncitizens who “self-reported” their noncitizen status to election officials. *Id.* at 1.

55. On December 12, 2017, the Senate State Government Committee and the Senate Transportation Committee held a joint hearing to discuss noncitizen registration and voting in the Commonwealth. Motor Voter, Unlawful Voting and Cyber Security: Joint Hearing before the Senate State Government Committee and the Senate Transportation Committee, Dec. 12, 2017, video available at <http://stategovernment.pasenategop.com/121217/>.

56. The Foundation submitted written testimony to the committees concerning noncitizens registering and voting in the Commonwealth. Testimony of J. Christian Adams, available at <http://stategovernment.pasenategop.com/wp-content/uploads/sites/30/2017/12/adams.pdf>.

57. At the December 12, 2017 joint hearing, Defendant Marks and then-Acting Secretary of State Robert Torres provided written and oral testimony.

58. Regarding the issue of noncitizens registering to vote through DMV offices, Secretary Torres explained it “is an issue that has extended over approximately twenty years.” Testimony of Acting Secretary Torres at 30:15-30:38, video available at <http://stategovernment.pasenategop.com/121217/>.

59. In written testimony, Secretary Torres explained that the Department of State is continuing to investigate noncitizens presently registered to vote. Specifically, Secretary Torres explained, “The Department’s ongoing effort includes expert analysis of the State Uniform Registry of Electors (SURE)

database and PennDOT's driver license database." Testimony of Acting Secretary Torres at 2, available at <http://stategovernment.pasenategop.com/wp-content/uploads/sites/30/2017/12/torres.pdf>.

60. Commissioner Schmidt again provided written and oral testimony at the December 12, 2017 joint hearing. Testimony of Al Schmidt, available at <http://stategovernment.pasenategop.com/wp-content/uploads/sites/30/2017/12/schmidt.pdf>.

61. According to Commissioner Schmidt's testimony,

When non-citizens apply for a driver's license at PennDOT, they are required to provide stay documents to show their legal status to remain in the U.S. for at least one year. PennDOT verifies these immigration documents electronically with the U.S. Department of Homeland Security and the applicant's driver's license record is marked using an INS Indicator.

Id. at 2.

62. When an applicant applies for voter registration, he or she is asked to provide their Pennsylvania driver's license or PennDOT ID card number. *See* Department of State, PA Online Voter Registration Application, <https://www.pavoterservices.pa.gov/pages/VoterRegistrationApplication.aspx>. According to the application's instructions, "If you have a PA driver's license or PennDOT ID card number, you must use it" to register to vote. *Id.*

63. Consequently, an individual's driver's license number can be used to verify whether someone is both a noncitizen (by the presence of the INS Indicator)

and registered to vote (driver's license number is part of registration record). *See* Testimony of Commissioner Schmidt at 62:28-63:17, video available at <http://stategovernment.pasenategop.com/121217/>.

64. In his oral testimony, Commissioner Schmidt explained that in July, August, and September of 2017, his office began meeting with the Department of State to discuss the issue of noncitizens registering to vote. Testimony of Commissioner Schmidt starting at 60:18, video available at <http://stategovernment.pasenategop.com/121217/>.

65. According to Commissioner Schmidt, the meetings with the Department of State resulted in an analysis that matched the driver's license numbers of noncitizens with the driver's license numbers of registered voters (hereafter, the "Noncitizen Matching Analysis"). Testimony of Commissioner Schmidt starting at 63:17, video available at <http://stategovernment.pasenategop.com/121217/>.

66. In his oral testimony given at the October 25, 2017 hearing of the House State Government Committee, Commissioner Schmidt stated that the Department of State was in the process of completing the Noncitizen Matching Analysis. Transcript, Noncitizens Registered to Vote in Pennsylvania: Hearing before the State Government Committee of the House of Representatives at 51:4-8, Oct. 25, 2017, available at <http://www.legis.state.pa.us/WU01/LI/TR/Transcripts/>

2017_0109T.pdf (“Well, respectfully, I think we’ll be in a better position to answer your question once the Department of State completes its match of voter registrations with driver’s license numbers with INS indicators and then sort of working it down from there.”).

67. According to Commissioner Schmidt, the Department of State has completed the Noncitizen Matching Analysis. In his oral testimony, Commissioner Schmidt stated that the analysis revealed over 100,000 matches, meaning that the analysis showed over 100,000 noncitizens who were presently registered to vote in Pennsylvania. Testimony of Commissioner Schmidt starting at 63:40, video available at <http://stategovernment.pasenategop.com/121217/>. Records concerning this analysis of voter registration records are subject to public inspection rights under the NVRA.

68. In his written testimony (and again in his oral remarks) Commissioner Schmidt called on the Department of State to “[r]elease the results of the data matching of PennDOT driver’s license numbers with INS Indicators against driver’s license numbers of registered voters in the statewide voter registration database to the County Boards of Election,” and contact the implicated individuals so they can “take action to cancel their voter registration status prior to the next election.” Testimony of Commissioner Schmidt at 3, available at <http://stategovernment.pasenategop.com/wp-content/uploads/sites/30/2017/12/schmidt.pdf>; *see also*,

Testimony of Commissioner Schmidt starting at 67:45, video available at <http://stategovernment.pasenategop.com/121217/>.

The Foundation Sought and was Denied Access to Records Concerning Noncitizen Registrants

69. On October 23, 2017, the Foundation contacted Defendant Marks of the Department of State’s Bureau of Commissions, Elections and Legislation via letter (hereafter, the “DOS Records Request,” attached as Exhibit H).

70. Pursuant to the public records inspection provision of the NVRA, 52 U.S.C. § 20507(i), the Foundation’s DOS Records Request sought the opportunity to inspect records concerning noncitizen registrants, including records related to their removal from the voter registration lists and referrals to law enforcement.³ See Exhibit H.

71. The DOS Records Request specifically identified as responsive “all voter records that were referenced in recent news media reports regarding individuals improperly exposed to registration prompts due to a ‘glitch’ in PennDOT’s Motor Voter compliance system,” and records related to a “review” of these records by the Department of State. Exhibit H at 1.

72. At the October 25, 2017 hearing of the House State Government Committee, Defendant Marks confirmed that the Department had “begun to review the data on this issue” and that an “analysis” had been conducted concerning, at

³ The entire scope of the requested records is available at Exhibits H and I.

least, the 1,160 noncitizens who had self-reported their statute as noncitizens and who had sought cancellation of their registrations. Testimony of Defendant Marks at 1, Oct. 25, 2017, available at http://www.legis.state.pa.us/WU01/LI/TR/Transcripts/2017_0109_0002_TSTMNY.pdf.

73. On October 25, 2017, a representative of the Foundation visited the Defendant Bureau of Commissions, Elections and Legislation to inspect the requested records.

74. An office employee informed the Foundation's representative that the DOS Records Request had been received, but that the requested records were not available for inspection. The request to inspect the records was denied.

75. The office employee notified someone in the Office of the Chief Counsel that the Foundation's representative was in the office to inspect the requested records.

76. After a short while, a representative from the Office of the Chief Counsel informed the Foundation's representative that the office was in the process of reviewing the DOS Records Request and that the office would provide a response via email in the next few days.

77. The Department of State did not permit the Foundation to inspect the requested records.

78. On October 30, 2017, Rebecca Fuhrman, an Agency Open Records Officer in the Office of the Chief Counsel, sent a letter via email to the Foundation. The letter acknowledged receipt of the DOS Records Request, but indicated that it was being processed under the Pennsylvania Right-to-Know Law, 65 P.S. §§ 67.101 *et seq.* The letter asked for an additional 30 days—or until November 29, 2017, to provide a response.

79. In a response sent via email, the Foundation again notified the Department of State that the Foundation’s DOS Records Request was made pursuant to the NVRA, not the Pennsylvania Right-to-Know Law.

80. In an email dated October 30, 2017, Ms. Fuhrman stated, “The Department acknowledges that your request is made pursuant to the NVRA.”

81. No further response was received from the Department of State by their internal deadline of November 29, 2017.

82. When no response was given, on December 4, 2017, the Foundation wrote to Defendant Marks and Ms. Fuhrman to ascertain the status of the DOS Records Request and to clarify the scope of the requested records, explaining that the DOS Records Request includes records related to the Noncitizen Matching Analysis: “results (full or interim) from an aforementioned official ‘review’ of voter data compared against PennDOT’s database of customers to identify voters

with matching driver profiles containing noncitizen designations” (hereafter the “DOS Clarification Letter, attached as Exhibit I).

83. The DOS Clarification Letter advised Defendant Marks’s office that a representative of the Foundation would again be visiting his office on December 6, 2017 to inspect the requested records. Exhibit I at 2.

84. In an email to the Foundation dated December 5, 2017, Kathleen Kotula, Deputy Chief Counsel for the Department of State, stated that the requested documents would not be available for inspection on December 6 and that a separate email detailing the reasons for the unavailability would follow.

85. On December 6, 2017, a representative of the Foundation again visited the office of the Department of State’s Bureau of Commissions, Elections and Legislation to inspect the requested records.

86. An employee for the Department of State, Jessica Mathis, advised the Foundation’s representative that the requested records could not be inspected and that “an email had been sent” regarding the matter.

87. At that time, no such email had been received by the Foundation.

88. The Department of State did not permit inspection of the requested records, including records related to the Noncitizen Matching Analysis.

89. On December 20, 2017, Deputy Chief Counsel Kotula emailed a letter from Defendant Marks to the Foundation formally denying the DOS Records Request (hereafter, the “DOS Denial Letter,” attached as Exhibit J).

90. The DOS Denial Letter stated, “[T]he Department does not agree that the NVRA entitles you to access the records you seek.” Exhibit J at 1.

91. In the letter, the Department of State takes the position that the NVRA’s public inspection provision is limited to list maintenance records that concern registrants who were determined to be ineligible “by reason of death or a change of residence.” Exhibit J at 1.

92. As was stated by the Foundation in the Records Request, the NVRA’s public inspection provision, 52 U.S.C. § 20507(i), does not contain the limitation advanced by the Department of State. Rather, the public inspection provision requires the Department of State to “make available for public inspection . . . **all records** concerning the implementation of programs and activities conducted for the purpose of ensuring the accuracy and currency of official lists of eligible voters. . . .” 52 U.S.C. § 20507(i)(1) (emphasis added).

93. The DOS Denial Letter further states,

[E]ven assuming *arguendo* that the NVRA would apply to a systematic removal program regarding non-citizens, the Department does not currently have such a program in place. As such, no responsive documents exist.

Exhibit J at 1.

94. The NVRA's public inspection provision is not limited to records concerning "systematic removal program[s]," but applies to all records concerning "the implementation of programs and activities." 52 U.S.C. § 20507(i).

95. Furthermore, at the time, the Department of State's position was contradicted by several sources, including the letter from Defendant Marks, in which the Department states that it is "actively reviewing" the matter of noncitizen registration. The Department's statement is also contradicted by the testimony of Defendant Marks, then-Secretary Torres, and Commissioner Schmidt, which detail list maintenance activities by the Department concerning the registration of noncitizens.

96. The requested records, including records related to the Noncitizen Matching Analysis, are "records concerning the implementation of programs and activities conducted for the purpose of ensuring the accuracy and currency of official lists of eligible voters. . . ." 52 U.S.C. § 20507(i)(1). Those records must be made available under the NVRA's public inspection provision.

97. The Department of State's refusal to allow inspection of requested records, including records related to the Noncitizen Matching Analysis, is a violation of the public inspection provision of the NVRA.

98. After receiving the DOS Denial Letter, the Foundation sent a response via letter to the Department of State (hereafter, the “DOS Violation Notice,” attached as Exhibit K).

99. The DOS Violation Notice informed the Department of State that its refusal to allow inspection of the requested records is a violation of the NVRA’s public inspection provision. Exhibit K at 1.

100. The DOS Violation Notice further informed the Department of State that because the violation of the NVRA occurred within 120 days of an election for federal office,⁴ the Department of State had 20 days to cure the violation or it could face “a civil action in an appropriate district court for declaratory or injunctive relief with respect to the violation.” 52 U.S.C. § 20510(b)(2).

101. When no further correspondence was received from the Department of State within the 20-day statutory waiting period, the Foundation filed an action against then-Secretary Torres and Defendant Marks in the Middle District of Pennsylvania to enforce the requirements of the NVRA. *Public Interest Legal Foundation v. Torres*, No. 1:18-cv-463 (M.D. Pa., filed Feb. 26, 2018) (hereafter, “*PILF v. Torres*”).

⁴ On October 23, 2017 Governor Wolf issued a Writ of Election setting a date of March 13, 2018 for a special election to fill Pennsylvania’s vacant 18th Congressional District.

102. During the pendency of that action, the Department of State engaged in additional list maintenance activities designed to keep the voter lists free of ineligible noncitizen registrants. Records of these activities are subject to public inspection under the NVRA.

103. In April 2017, the Department of State released a statement to select media describing its activities to identify and remove noncitizens who were registered to vote through a so-called “programming error in the PennDOT Motor Vehicle electronic system” (hereafter, the “Statement,” attached as Exhibit L).

104. The Statement explains that prior to the end of 2017, “an intense data analysis and process was conducted that yielded a responsible list of individuals for whom voter registration status required further confirmation.” Exhibit L at 1. The Statement continues, “As a result of that work, the Department of State is mailing the attached letter today to 7,702 individuals whose citizenship status merits further due diligence.” *Id.* A copy of the letter sent to suspected non-citizen registrants appears at page 3 of Exhibit L.

105. The Statement describes future actions the Department of State planned to take following Pennsylvania’s May 15, 2018 primary election, including additional steps to ensure that affected individuals “affirm their eligibility or request cancellation,” including “reach[ing] out to the individuals through another mailing.” Exhibit L at 1.

106. The Statement also reveals the existence of records in the Secretary's possession related to these activities described, including the "list of individuals for whom voter registration status required further confirmation" and correspondence with 7,702 registered voters. *Id.* All of these records—and others related to them—are responsive to the Foundation's requests and must be made available for inspection pursuant to the NVRA.

107. In January 2019, an open records dispute between the Department of State and State Representative Daryl Metcalfe revealed additional list maintenance activities undertaken by the Department of State concerning noncitizens who may be registered to vote.⁵ In June 2018, the Department of State mailed letters to 11,198 suspected noncitizen registrants, asking them to confirm their eligibility or request cancelation of their registrations. Records concerning those activities are responsive to the Foundation's requests and must be made available for inspection pursuant to the NVRA.

108. The Department of State has not made the aforementioned records or any other requested records available for public inspection, but has intentionally and repeatedly denied the Foundation access to the request records.

⁵ Press Release, *Former and Current House State Government Committee Chairmen Demand Full Disclosure from Wolf on Number of Non-Citizen Voters*, Jan. 29, 2019, <http://www.repmetcalfe.com/NewsItem.aspx?NewsID=274620> (last accessed April 10, 2019).

Prior Litigation and Notice to Defendants Boockvar and Marks

109. On February 26, 2019, this Court dismissed the Foundation's complaint in *PILF v. Torres. Pub. Interest Legal Found. v. Boockvar*, No. 1:18-CV-463, 2019 U.S. Dist. LEXIS 30095 (M.D. Pa. Feb. 26, 2019).

110. The Foundation reasonably believed that its service of the DOS Violation Letter on counsel for the Department of State was required ethically and adequate to notify the Secretary of the Commonwealth of the alleged violations, and therefore adequate to satisfy the pre-litigation notice requirements of the NVRA.

111. According to the Court, however, the complaint did not allege that then-Secretary Torres was sent or received pre-litigation notice of the alleged NVRA violation. *Boockvar*, 2019 U.S. Dist. LEXIS 30095 at *14-15.

112. However, the Court found that the Foundation "adequately pled an injury in fact," *id.* at *10, and that the Foundation's organizational interests fell within the "zone of interests protected by the NVRA," *id.* at *12.

113. Following dismissal of *PILF v. Torres*, the Foundation attempted to rectify the alleged errors in notice identified by the Court.

114. Concerned with the inability to ensure that Defendant Boockvar actually received the notice of the NVRA violation, and the apparent importance of Boockvar receiving actual notice, the Foundation arranged for a process server to

attempt to personally serve Defendant Boockvar at her home with written notice of the alleged NVRA violations.

115. Service was unsuccessful because Defendant Boockvar's husband demanded that the process server leave the property.

116. According to the process server, Defendant Boockvar's husband photographed his car and later that morning, the process server was visited at his home by police officers, who brought him to the police station for questioning. The police officers allegedly demanded to see the materials the process server attempted to serve. The police also apparently provided Defendant Boockvar or her representatives information about the notice the process server attempted to deliver.

117. On March 7, 2019, counsel for the Foundation received a letter via email from Timothy Gates, Chief Counsel for the Pennsylvania Department of State. In the letter, Mr. Gates threatened, "Any further attempt to contact the Acting Secretary at her home will be considered harassment, which will be raised with local law enforcement and the court." Exhibit M.

118. In response, counsel for the Foundation contacted Mr. Gates via telephone. Mr. Gates agreed to accept service of the NVRA Notice Letter on behalf of his clients, Defendants Boockvar and Marks, and agreed to provide them with a copy of the same.

119. On March 20, 2019, counsel for the Foundation provided written notice of the alleged NVRA violations to Defendants Boockvar and Marks through their counsel, Mr. Gates. *See* Exhibit N. A copy of the NVRA Notice Letter is attached as Exhibit O.

120. As required by the private-right-of-action provision of the NVRA, 52 U.S.C. § 20510, the NVRA Notice Letter notified Defendants Boockvar and Marks that the Department of State, Bureau of Commissions, Elections and Legislation, Defendant Marks, and all persons acting on their behalf, are violating the NVRA by refusing to allow inspection of the requested records. Exhibit O at 2. The letter further stated that the violations are ongoing. *Id.*

121. The NVRA Notice Letter further notified Defendants Boockvar and Marks that because the violation of the NVRA occurred within 120 days of an election for federal office,⁶ they had 20 days to cure the violation or face federal litigation, 52 U.S.C. § 20510(b)(2). Exhibit O at 2.

122. On March 20, 2019, Mr. Gates acknowledged receipt of the NVRA Notice Letter and confirmed that he provided the NVRA notice letter to Defendants Boockvar and Marks:

⁶ A special election to fill the seat in Pennsylvania's 12th Congressional District is scheduled for May 21, 2019. <https://www.dos.pa.gov/VotingElections/Candidates/Committees/RunningforOffice/Pages/SpecialElections.aspx>.

Thank you for sending the attached notice of violations of the NVRA to my attention today. I have this day, March 20, 2019 provided the attached notice to my clients Kathryn Boockvar and Jonathan Marks.

Exhibit P at 1.

123. Accordingly, as required by the NVRA, the Foundation has provided written notice of the alleged NVRA violations to Defendant Boockvar, Pennsylvania's Chief Election Officer, 52 U.S.C. 20510(b)(1). Exhibit N and Exhibit P.

124. Defendant Boockvar, Pennsylvania's Chief Election Officer, has received the Foundation's written notice of the alleged NVRA violations, 52 U.S.C. 20510(b)(1). Exhibit N and Exhibit P.

125. Defendant Marks was sent and has received notice of the same. *See* Exhibit N and Exhibit P.

126. On April 9, 2019, the Foundation received a letter from Defendant Marks via an email from Deputy Chief Counsel Kotula. Exhibit Q. The letter reaffirmed the Department's position that the NVRA does not entitle the Foundation to access the requested records. *Id.* In the letter, the Department acknowledged its "investigation into the potential registration of noncitizens." *Id.*

127. The Foundation received no further correspondence from the Defendants or the Department of State.

Defendants Are Violating the NVRA by Refusing to Provide Inspection of the Requested Records

128. The records requested from Defendants are records “concerning the implementation of programs and activities conducted for the purpose of ensuring the accuracy and currency of official lists of eligible voters. . . .” 52 U.S.C. § 20507(i)(1).

129. Under the NVRA, the Defendants are obligated to make the requested records available for public inspection and photocopying. Defendants have not done so and are therefore in violation of law.

Defendants’ Violations of Law Have Harmed the Foundation and the Public

130. “[A] plaintiff suffers an ‘injury in fact’ when the plaintiff fails to obtain information which must be publicly disclosed pursuant to a statute.” *FEC v. Akins*, 524 U.S. 11, 21 (1998). The Foundation is suffering a clear informational injury as a direct result of the Defendants’ violations of the NVRA because the Defendants have denied the Foundation access to the records to which it is entitled under the law. This injury is ongoing.

131. The Defendants action have also frustrated and harmed the Foundation’s organizational mission.

132. As an integral part of its public interest mission, the Foundation gathers and disseminates information about compliance by state and local officials with federal election statutes, including election integrity statutes.

133. Using records and data compiled through use of the NVRA's public inspection provision, the Foundation has produced written reports concerning the registration and voting activity of noncitizens. The Foundation publishes and disseminates these reports as part of its mission.

134. The Foundation publicizes its work through media and press sources. Representatives of the Foundation appear on national television programs to discuss the inadequacies of state election systems in preventing noncitizens from registering and voting. The Foundation believes that keeping voter rolls free of ineligible registrants requires full transparency of government agencies. By denying the Foundation access to the requested records Defendants have impaired and will impair the Foundation from carrying out its mission.

135. A central activity of the Foundation is to promote election integrity and compliance with federal and state statutes which promote the integrity of elections. The Defendants' violations of NVRA have impaired and will impair the Foundation from carrying out this its mission.

136. The failure of the Defendants to comply with their obligations under the NVRA has also undermined the confidence of Pennsylvania's properly registered voters in the integrity of the voter registration rolls, and, accordingly, has undermined the integrity of elections held across the Commonwealth of Pennsylvania.

137. The 20-day curative period afforded by the NVRA expired on April 9, 2019.

138. Defendants have not cured their violation of the NVRA within the 20-day period applicable within 120 days of a federal election under the NVRA. 52 U.S.C. § 20510(b)(2).

139. The Foundation has spent considerable time and financial resources in an effort to obtain the requested records and to improve the accuracy of voter rolls across the Commonwealth.

140. The Defendants' failure to permit inspection and duplication of the requested records pursuant to the NVRA is causing the Foundation to suffer an informational injury and has further frustrated, impeded and harmed the efforts of the Foundation.

COUNT I

Violation of the NVRA Failure to Permit Inspection and Duplication of List Maintenance Records

141. Plaintiff realleges paragraphs 1 through 140 as if fully stated herein.

142. Defendants have failed to permit inspection and duplication of records concerning Defendants' implementation of programs and activities for ensuring the accuracy and currency of official lists of eligible voters, in violation of Section 8 of the NVRA, 52 U.S.C. § 20507(i). *See Project Vote v. Long*, 682 F.3d 331, 334-335

(4th Cir. 2012) (The NVRA requires local election officials to provide such records to the public).

143. Defendants have not cured their violation of law within the 20-day period afforded them by the NVRA for violations that occur within 120 days of an election for federal office. 52 U.S.C. § 20510(b)(2).

144. Plaintiff has suffered an irreparable informational injury as a direct result of Defendants' violations of Section 8 of the NVRA because the Plaintiff does not have the data and records requested. The NVRA confers upon Plaintiff a right to information, and by denying that information to the Plaintiff, the Defendants have caused a concrete injury to the Plaintiff.

145. Plaintiff will continue to be injured by the Defendants' violations of Section 8 of the NVRA unless and until the Defendants are enjoined from continuing to violate the law.

146. Plaintiff has no adequate remedy at law.

PRAYER FOR RELIEF

WHEREFORE, Plaintiff prays for a judgment:

1. Declaring that Defendants are in violation of Section 8 of the NVRA;
2. Ordering the Defendants to provide to the Plaintiff the records concerning their implementation of programs and activities to ensure the accuracy and currency of voter registration lists;

3. Ordering the Defendants to pay Plaintiff's reasonable attorney's fees, including litigation expenses and costs, pursuant to 52 U.S.C. § 20510(c); and
4. Granting Plaintiff further relief that this Court deems just and proper.

Dated: April 10, 2019.

Respectfully submitted,

For the Plaintiff Public Interest Legal Foundation:

/s/ Linda A. Kerns

Linda A. Kerns, Esquire
LAW OFFICES OF LINDA A. KERNS, LLC
1420 Locust Street – Suite 200
Philadelphia, PA 19102
PA Atty ID 84495
Tel: (215) 731-1400
Fax: (215) 701-4154
linda@lindakernslaw.com

J. Christian Adams*
Public Interest Legal Foundation, Inc.
1555 King St., Ste. 200
Alexandria, VA 22314
Tel: (317) 203-5599
Fax: (888) 815-5641
adams@PublicInterestLegal.org

Noel H. Johnson (Wis. Bar # 1068004)*
Public Interest Legal Foundation, Inc.
32 E. Washington St., Ste. 1675
Indianapolis, IN 46204
Tel: (317) 203-5599
Fax: (888) 815-5641
njohnson@PublicInterestLegal.org
** Pro Hac Vice application to be filed*

VERIFICATION

Pursuant to 28 U.S.C. § 1746, I declare under penalty of perjury that the foregoing is true and correct to the best of my knowledge, information and belief.

Dated: April 10, 2019.

/s/ Linda A. Kerns
Linda A. Kerns
Counsel for Plaintiff